EdPrepLab Learning Café Presentation: Simulations for Deeper Learning

Elizabeth A. Self (elizabeth.self@vanderbilt.edu)

Simulated encounters in teacher education offer an opportunity for deeper learning but require careful consideration of what is being simulated, how, and what else is done to leverage the encounter itself. In this session, we will talk about the most common forms of simulation in teacher education (i.e., structured role play, live-actor, avatar-based) and explore the kinds of considerations that support deeper learning. We will examine 3 forms of simulation available in the literature to serve as case examples and end the session with some specific questions we can ask of ourselves as we plan to use encounters for deeper learning.

All materials for the session are available <u>HERE</u>.

Welcome and Introductions
In the chat: Q1: Please share your name + pronouns and a role you play, either personally or professionally. (Ex. I'm Liz Self (she/her/hers). I currently play the role of virtual school monitor to my three children.) Q2: What form of simulations are you currently using or considering in your program?
Brief Overview of Simulations in Teacher Education
 Most common forms include structured role play, live-actor, and avatar-based. Limitations and affordances to each Ethical considerations about representation Pedagogical choices about contextualization, teaching moments, replay Deeper Learning with simulations requires us to consider: What is worth simulating What form of simulation best fits our learning goals What else we are doing beyond the interaction itself
Our goal for today is to come away with a set of considerations that help us plan to use encounters for deeper learning. Norms: Start with possibilities, respond with questions, engage all ideas.
_

0:15-0:35	Small Group Case Studies
0.13 0.33	Group A: Structured Role Play (Shaughnessy & Boerst, 2018; interaction
	representing the mathematical thinking and reasoning of an elementary-aged
	student)
	Group B: Live Actor (Self, Dickes, & Krinks, 2017; interaction representing a
	check in between a teacher and student in a biology class)
	Group C: Avatar-Based (Hudson, Voytecki, & Zhang, 2018; interaction
	representing efforts at classroom management)
	Questions for discussion:
	1. What <i>all</i> is being simulated? What are the benefits of simulating this
	prior to or in addition to authentic opportunities to engage in this
	moment of teaching?
	2. What does this form of simulation seem to <i>afford</i> , especially with
	respect to the moment of teaching being simulated? In what ways does
	the form <i>limit</i> what is possible? What ethical considerations arise here?
	3. What else would you expect a teacher educator to do with the
	simulation in order to push for deeper learning?
	Add your notes from discussion to THESE slides. Come back at 0:40. The
	person WHO has the next birthday will share out.
	person time need and mentally time need con-
0:35-0:55	Whole Group Discussion
	As we listen to each group share out and look at the notes from their
	<u>discussion</u> , consider what themes and patterns emerge across the three cases.
	and the same and patterns on a go as one and the same and
0:55	Liz's BIG Ideas for Deeper Learning
	Provide multiple opportunities for candidates to interpret, make sense
	of, or reconsider a given encounter, especially over time and in
	response to further learning.
	Be aware of what candidates might leave with that is partial or
	incomplete and teach "around" the interaction in a way that offsets
	that.
	 Stay attentive to identity and positionality. If the interaction changes
	based on WHO the teacher and student/parent/coworker are, then
	either the simulation or the debrief has to be attuned to that.
	either the simulation of the debrief has to be atturied to that.

Thank you for join us.
Please feel free to <u>contact me</u> for further conversation!